**Permit #: 23** Permit Date: 01/27/23 Permit Type: Planning Commission Case Number: PC 23-02 PC Meeting Date: d. 1st Tuesday of May **BZA Meeting Date:** Assigned Meeting Date: 05/07/2024 **Special Meeting Date:** Applicant Is: Owner Applicant Name: Todd Sorensen Applicant Address: 100 Woodward Hills Pl Applicant City, State, ZIP: Brentwood, TN 37027 Applicant Phone Number: 6155047301 Applicant Email: tsorensen1228@gmail.com Description: Work in the steep slope that is now in place that was constructed without PC approval. This work includes: construction related to new pool/pool deck/pool fence, retaining walls, stream buffer encroachment, tree work, and stormwater redirection. Project Cost: 1250 **Square Feet:** 0 Lot Area: 0 Lot Coverage: 0 Heat/cooled area: 0 Proposed Height(ft.): 0 **#of stories:** 0 Lot Depth/Width Ratio: Avg. front setback of adjacent homes: Zoning District: Zone D Radnor Lake Impact Zone: No **Steep Slope:** Yes Plat/Subdivison: No Status: Open Assigned To: Stephen Snow

#### Property

Parcel #	Address	Legal Description	Owner Name	Owner Phone	Zoning
15916000100	100 WOODWARD HILLS PL	P/O LOT 1 WOODWARD HILLS	SORENSEN, TODD J. & RACHELLE A. REVOCABLE LIVING TR		
Fees					
	Fee	Description		Notes	Amount
Variance/Administrative A	Appeal				\$250.00
Residential Steep Slope Re	eview Fee		(2 reviews)		\$1,000.00
				Total	\$1,250.00
Payments					
Date	Paid By	Description	Payment Type	Accepted By	Amount
01/27/2023	Todd Sore	nsen Presentation Fee Steep Slope Review Fee	1436	Desiree Lohr	\$1,250.00
				<b>Outstanding Balance</b>	\$0.00

April 16, 2024

Mr. Zachary Dufour CITY OF OAK HILL 5548 Franklin Pike, Suite 101 Nashville, Tennessee 37220

Re: 100 Woodward Hills Pl

Dear Zac,

Fulmer Lucas has been retained by the homeowner of 100 Woodward Hills Place to assess drainage concerns from the previously constructed improvements. TTL was also retained to assess the retaining wall that was built in the backyard. Enclosed are:

- Drainage Exhibit
- Geotechnical report

Three site visits have been made to assess the drainage patterns in the rear yard. The third visit was made during a storm event on April 2, 2024. Ponding water was observed in various areas on the property including grassed and paved areas, so the amount of rainfall was sufficient to observe drainage patterns. Photos have been sent separately that were taken during the visit. No ponding water was observed along the eastern boundary east of the landscape berm. The drainage exhibit, however, indicates two areas where the berm will be removed in order to provide an opportunity for gravity drainage from the east to the west towards the primary drainage conveyance.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me via email (jay@fulmereng.com) or phone (615-516-8477).

Sincerely,

Jag Z Jay Fulmer, PE

Cc: Mr. Todd Sorensen

Enclosure

March 20, 2024



Mr. Todd Sorensen 100 Woodward Hills Place Brentwood, Tennessee 37027

P: 615.504.7301 E: <u>tsorenssen1228@gmail.com</u>

RE: Report of Geotechnical Exploration Services Retaining Wall at 100 Woodward Hills Place Oak Hill, Davidson County, Tennessee TTL Project No. 000240800493.00

Dear Mr. Sorenson:

We have completed the requested geotechnical exploration for the retaining wall at 100 Woodward Hills Place in Oak Hill Tennessee. This report summarizes background information about the project, our site observations, field and laboratory testing data, and an opinion about the stability of the existing retaining wall. Our services were provided in accordance with our Proposal No. 000240800493.00, dated February 15, 2024. Our services were authorized by Mr. Sorenson on February 18, 2024.

#### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Background information was provided to us by Mr. Todd Sorenson and Mr. Jay Fulmer, PE of Fulmer Lucas Engineering through several telephone conversations. We were also provided with the following resources:

- File titled, "Sorensen SC Retain Wall Descr Compl.pdf"
- File titled, "Sorensen\_Engineering Plan Review Letter\_5.11.22.pdf"
- File titled, "OakHill.For Steep Slope Requests.pdf"

Information about the project is summarized in the table below:

ltem	Description
Project Location	The residence is located at 100 Woodward Hills Place in Oak Hill, Tennessee.
Project Focus	A U-shaped Allen Block mechanically stabilized earth retaining wall was constructed for a sports courtyard behind the residence. The footings and leveling pad for the retaining wall were reportedly constructed on or near limestone bedrock. The wall is about 115 feet long and up to 6-1/2 feet tall. The geogrids are reportedly 6 feet long and spaced every 32 inches vertically.

	A geotechnical exploration was not performed before the retaining wall was constructed. The
Reason for Concern	City of Oak Hill is requiring a geotechnical exploration to evaluate the stability of the retaining
	wall.

Please contact us if the above information is not correct so we can modify our recommendations, if needed.

#### SITE OBSERVATIONS

The retaining wall is located on the southeast side of the residence. The backslope above the retaining wall is nearly flat and covered in grass. The retaining wall appeared to be in good condition during our field activities. No cracks, rotation, or noticeable wear was observed in the wall. No tension cracks or irregularities were observed in the backslope. A drainage ditch lined with rock passes by the front of the retaining wall and had a small amount of water present in it during our site visit.

#### GEOLOGY

The Geologic Map of the Oak Hill Quadrangle, Tennessee, found on the United States Geological Survey website (<u>https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/mapview</u>), dated 1972 indicates this particular site is underlain by the Leipers-Catheys Formation. This formation is typically comprised of a knotty, fine to coarse grained, thin to medium bedded, gray argillaceous limestone with calcareous and phosphate zones. Interbedded layers of shale are common. The limestone in this formation weathers in place to produce a residual soil layer which is typically a brown silty clay. The soil layer is normally 5 to 7 feet thick but can exceed 15 feet in some parts of southwest Davidson County.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture web soil survey (<u>https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov</u>) indicates that the soils underlying the retaining wall are Mimosa silt loam (Mmc), 5 to 12 percent slopes. These soils are a clayey residuum derived from weathering of the limestone.

Oak Hill and other parts of southwest Davidson County contain ridges that rise 200 to 300 feet above the adjacent valleys. At the base of some of these ridges are colluvial soils, which are materials that originated from higher elevations but have moved down slope because of erosion, landslides, and creep. Colluvial soils have about half of the shear strength of typical residual soils and are therefore prone to landslides when they are disturbed by construction activity (cuts/fills) or increases in soil moisture or groundwater. No colluvial soils are mapped at the site and none were observed in the borings.

#### EXPLORATION PROCEDURES

Subsurface conditions in front of (below) the retaining wall were explored at four locations (not including offsets) by using a hand auger. Each of the borings were extended to refusal. The approximate boring locations are shown below. The borings proceeded in small depth increments, typically <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-foot. A TTL geoprofessional documented the conditions encountered during the

excavations and classified the soils using the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) as defined by ASTM D2487 and D2488.

Each boring was checked for the presence of groundwater upon reaching refusal, and then backfilled to the ground surface with the excavated soils and tamped with a spud bar. Locations were documented by using a measuring tape and estimating right angles from the retaining wall. Borings were performed about 1 and 2 feet from the front of the wall.

Our interpretation of the subsurface stratigraphy encountered in the borings is provided on the logs appended to this report. These logs are based on observations made during excavation and classification of the soils by a geoprofessional. Subsurface conditions between borings may vary.



#### SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

Each of the borings encountered fill material consisting of red brown, brown, or black lean clay (USCS – CL) extending to refusal. The clay contained some gravel, was wet, and was generally firm to stiff in consistency. Auger refusal was encountered at depths between 1.2 and 5.6 feet below ground level. Groundwater was observed at depths between 0.6 and 5.0 feet. Colluvial soils were not encountered in any of the borings.

#### ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

Our analyses indicate that the wall has adequate factors of safety for bearing capacity, sliding, and overturning with factors of safety greater than 1.5 for each. We assumed an undrained shear strength of 1,500 pounds per square foot based on our exploration and a sliding coefficient of 0.35. Because of the shallow depth to refusal, we did not perform a global stability analysis. No indications of wall instability were observed based on our field observations.

#### LIMITATIONS

The subsurface data are based on observations of the soil from a limited number of hand auger borings at discrete locations along the retaining wall. It is possible that different conditions may exist away from the explored locations. Assessment of site environmental conditions is beyond the scope of this geotechnical exploration. A review of the internal stability of the wall was beyond our scope of services.

#### CLOSURE

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you. Please contact our office if you have questions about this letter or if we may be of additional assistance.

Sincerely, TTL, Inc.

Clay J. Fullmer, EIT Project Professional

Attachments:

Legend Sheet – Soil Exploration Logs



Richard D. Heckel, P.E., D.GE Chief Geotechnical Engineer

### SOIL LEGEND

	FINE	- AND CC	ARSE-GRAI	NED SOIL I	NFORMATIO	ON			
FIN	NE-GRAINED SOILS		COARSE-GR	AINED SOILS		PARTICLE SIZE			
(SILTS AND CLAYS) (1				D GRAVELS)	Name	Size (US Std. Sieve)			
		Estimated			Boulders	>300 mm (>12 in.)			
<u>SPT N-Value</u>	<u>Consistency</u>	<u>Q<sub>u</sub> (TSF)</u>	<u>SPT N-Value</u>	Relative Density	Cobbles	75 mm to 300 mm (3 - 12 in.)			
0-1	Very Soft	0 - 0.25	0-4	Very Loose	Coarse Gravel	19 mm to 75 mm (3/4 - 3 in.)			
2-4	Soft	0.25 - 0.5	5-10	Loose	Fine Gravel	4.75 mm to 19 mm (#4 - 3/4 in.)			
5-8	Firm	0.5 - 1.0	11-30	Medium Dense	Coarse Sand	2 mm to 4.75 mm (#10 - #4)			
9-15	Stiff	1.0 - 2.0	31-50	Dense	Medium Sand	0.425 mm to 2 mm (#40 - #10)			
16-30 31+	Very Stiff Hard	2.0-4.0 4.0+	51+	Very Dense	Fine Sand	0.075 mm to 0.425 mm (#200 - #40)			
Q <sub>u</sub> = Uncor	nfined Compression S	Strength			Silts and Clays	< 0.075 mm (< #200)			
RELATIVE	PROPORTIONS	OF SAND A	ND GRAVEL	RELATIVE F	PROPORTIONS	OF CLAYS AND SILTS			
Descrip	otive Terms	Percent of E	Dry Weight	Descripti	ve Terms	Percent of Dry Weight			
"Т	race"	< 1	.5	"Tra	ice"	< 5			
"\	Nith"	15 -	30	"Wi	th"	5-12			
Mo	odifier	> 3	80	Mod	ifier	> 12			
CRITERIA F	OR DESCRIBING	G MOISTUR	E CONDITION	CRITERIA	FOR DESCRIE	BING CEMENTATION			
Description		Criteria		Description		Criteria			
Dry	Absence of mois	sture, dusty, dry	/ to the touch	Weak Crur	Weak Crumbles or breaks with handling or little finge				
Moist	Damp,	but no visible w	ater	Moderate Cru	umbles or breaks wi	th considerable finger pressure			
Wet	Visible free water,	usually soil is be	elow water table	Strong	Will not crumble o	r break with finger pressure			
	CRITERIA FOR	DESCRIBIN	IG STRUCTURE	Ξ	SAMPLERS A	AND DRILLING METHODS			
Description		<u>Cri</u>	teria			AUGER CUTTINGS			
Stratified	Alternating layers 6 mm thick; note	of varying mate the thickness	erial or color with lay	ers at least		BAG/BULK SAMPLE			
Laminated	Alternating layers than 6 mm thick;	of varying mate note thickness	erial or color with the	e layers less	SW .	GRAB SAMPLE			
Fissured	Breaks along defi	nite planes of f	racture with little res	sistance to		ONTINUOUS SAMPLES			
Slickensided	Fracture planes a	ppear polished	or glossy, sometime	es striated	S	HELBY TUBE SAMPLE			
Blocky	Cohesive soil that which resist furth	t can be broken er breakdown	down into small ang	gular lumps		PITCHER SAMPLE			
Lensed	Inclusion of small sand scattered th	pockets of diffe	erent soils such as s of clay: note thicknes	small lenses of	STANDAR	D PENETRATION SPLIT-SPOON SAMPLE			
Homogeneous	Same color and a	ppearance thro	oughout		SPLIT-SPOC	ON SAMPLE WITH NO RECOVERY			
					DYNAM	MIC CONE PENETROMETER			
WOH Weigh	nt of Hammer	N-Value	Sum of the blows	for last two 6-in		ROCK CORE			
WOR Weigh	nt of Rod		increments of SPT		WATE	R LEVEL SYMBOLS			
	idl ac of Drilling		Not Applicable of	INOT AVAIIADIE	VATER LEV	EL AT TIME OF DRILLING			
DCP Dynamic Cone Penetromater DDV Doulous Dialmeter				ater Value	PERCHED V	VATER OBSERVED AT DRILLING			
Flev Flevation SEA Solid Flight Augor				DELAYED W	ATER LEVEL OBSERVATION				
Elev. Elevation SFA Solid Flight Auger			hler	超 CAVE-IN DE	PTH				
HSA Hollow	w Stem Auger	22	Solit-Shoon Sam	ler	OBSERVED	SEEPAGE			
ID Inside	Diameter	SPT	Standard Penetral	tion Test	L				
in inches USCS Unified Soil Cla			Unified Soil Classi	fication System					
lbs pound	- ds	0000							

	UN	IFIED	SOIL	CLASS	SIFICATION SYSTEM (USCS)
eve)	CLEAN GRAVEL WITH	Cu > 4 Cc = 1-3		GW	Well-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures with trace or no fines
ne #4 si€	<5% FINES	Cu <u>&lt;</u> 4 and/or Cc < 1 Cc > 3		GP	Poorly-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures with trace or no fines
r than th		Cu > 4		GW-GM	Well-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures with silt fines
is large	GRAVEL WITH	Cc = 1-3		GW-GC	Well-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures with clay fines
fraction	12% FINES	Cu <u>&lt;</u> 4 and/or		GP-GM	Poorly-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures with silt fines
coarse		Cc < 1 Cc > 3		GP-GC	Poorly-graded gravels, gravel-sand mixtures with clay fines
>50% of				GM	Silty gravels, gravel-silt-sand mixtures
AVELS (>	GRAVE MORE 12% I	L WITH THAN FINES		GC	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay mixtures
GRA				GC-GM	Clayey gravels, gravel-sand-clay-silt mixtures
ive)	CLEAN SAND WITH	Cu > 6 Cc = 1-3		SW	Well-graded sands, sand-gravel mixtures with trace or no fines
e #4 sie	<5% FINES	Cu <u>&lt;</u> 6 and/or Cc < 1 Cc > 3		SP	Poorly-graded sands, sand-gravel mixtures with trace or no fines
than th		Cu > 6		SW-SM	Well-graded sands, sand-gravel mixtures with silt fines
smaller	SAND WITH 5% TO	UC = 1-3		SW-SC	Well-graded sands, sand-gravel mixtures with clay fines
action is	12% FINES	Cu <u>&lt;</u> 6 and/or		SP-SM	Poorly-graded sands, sand-gravel mixtures with silt fines
oarse fr		Cc < 1 Cc > 3		SP-SC	Poorly-graded sands, sand-gravel mixtures with clay fines
60% of c				SM	Silty sands, sand-gravel-silt mixtures
NDS (>5	SAND MORE 12% I	WITH THAN FINES		SC	Clayey sands, sand-gravel-clay mixtures
SA				SC-SM	Clayey sands, sand-gravel-clay-silt mixtures
	S	_		ML	Inorganic silts with low plasticity
/e)	cLAYS	an 50)		CL	Inorganic clays of low plasticity, gravelly or sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays
00 sie	SILTS 8	less th		CL-ML	Inorganic clay-silts of low plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silty clays, lean clays
the #2				OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
ller than	AYS ait	20)		MH	Inorganic silts of high plasticity, elastic silts
sma	& CL	than		СН	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays
	TS 2	<u>5</u> 6			
	ller than the #200 sieve) SANDS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve) GRAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)	Iler than the #200 sieve)       SANDS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve)       GRAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)         AY       SILTS & CLAYS       SILTS & CLAYS	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline CIC (1000 construction is smaller than the #1 sieve) \\ \hline CIC (1000 construction is larger than the #1 sieve$	Iller than the #200 sieve)Iller than the #200 sieve)SANDS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve)Create fraction is larger than 50)Create fraction the #4 sieve)Create fraction f	CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #200 sieve)       SANDS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve)       CRAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve)       CRAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is smaller than the #4 sieve)       CRAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)       CRAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)       CRAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than the #4 sieve)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)       CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)       CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)       CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)       CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)       CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)       CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)         CHAVELS (>50% of coarse fraction is larger than 50)

	USCS - HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS											
Prir	rimarily organic matter, dark in color, organic odor											
<u>\/\</u> / <u>\</u>	PT Peat, humus, swamp soils with high organic contents											
		OTHER MATERIALS										
	BITUMINOUS CONCRETE (ASPHALT)											
4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	CONCRETE											
	CRUSHED STONE/AGGREGATE BASE											
<u> </u>		TOPSOIL										
		FILL										
		UNDIFFERENTIATED ALLUVIUM										
$\mathbf{i}$	l	JNDIFFERENTIATED OVERBURDEN										
		BOULDERS AND COBBLES										

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{UNIFORMITY COEFFICIENT}} \\ C_u = D_{60}/D_{10} \\ \\ \hline \\ \underline{\text{COEFFICIENT OF CURVATURE}} \\ C_c = (D_{30})^2/(D_{60} \text{x} D_{10}) \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ C_{60} = \text{grain diameter at 60\% passin} \end{array}$ 

 $D_{60}$  = grain diameter at 60% passing  $D_{30}$  = grain diameter at 30% passing  $D_{10}$  = grain diameter at 10% passing



#### PLASTICITY CHART FOR USCS CLASSIFICATION OF FINE-GRAINED SOILS



#### IMPORTANT NOTES ON TEST BORING RECORDS

1) The report and graphics key are an integral part of these logs. All data and interpretations in this log are subject to the explanations and limitations stated in the report.

2) Lines separating strata on the logs represent approximate boundaries only. Actual transitions may be gradual or differ from those shown. Solid lines are used to indicate a change in the material type, particularly a change in the USCS classification. Dashed lines are used to separate two materials that have the same material type, but that differ with respect to two or more other characteristics (e.g. color, consistency).

3) No warranty is provided as to the continuity of soil or rock conditions between individual sample locations.

4) Logs represent general soil and rock conditions observed at the point of exploration on the date indicated.

5) In general, Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) designations presented on the logs were based on visual classification in the field and were modified where appropriate based on gradation and index property testing.

6) Fine-grained soils that plot within the hatched area on the Plasticity Chart, and coarse-grained soils with between 5% and 12% passing the #200 sieve require dual USCS symbols as presented on the previous page.

7) If the sampler is not able to be driven at least 6 inches, then 50/X" indicates that the sampler advanced X inches when struck 50 times with a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches.

8) If the sampler is driven at least 6 inches, but cannot be driven either of the subsequent two 6-inch increments, then either 50/X" or the sum of the second 6-inch increment plus 50/X" for the third 6-inch increment will be indicated. Example 1: Recorded SPT blow counts are 16 - 50/4", the SPT N-value will be shown as N = 50/4"

Example 2: Recorded SPT blow counts are  $18 - 25 - 50/2^{\circ}$ , the SPT N-value will be shown as N =  $75/8^{\circ}$ 



7		77	Retai	ning Wal	ll at 10	0 Wo	odv	vard Hills	s Place			HA-	01	
				Oak	Hill, David:	son Coun	ty, Ten	inessee				Page 1	of 1	
Drilling Co.: TTL, Inc. Project Nu					roject Number: 000240800493.00 Comments:									
Driller: C. Fullmer Date					d: 0	2/22/24	4		Backfilled v	vith auger c	uttings	upon cor	npletior	۱.
Log	ged By	y:	C. Fullmer	Boring Dep	oth: 4	.9 feet								
Equi	pmen	t:	-	Boring Elev	ation: N	I/A								
Ham	nmer T	уре:	-	Coordinate	es: -	, -								
Drilli	ng Me	ethod:	Hand Auger	⊻ Water L ≝Cave-in	evel At T At Time	ime Of Of Dril	Drilli ling:	ng: 3.9 feet N/A	∑ Delaye Delayed V	ed Water I Vater Obse	_evel: ervatio	on Date:	N/A N/A	
								Samples			•	Uncorrected	d N-Value	•
											0 	2: Moisture	5 Content	50
(tt)	(£	Log				(tsf)	hic	(%		ts	•	5i Plastic	) Limit	<u>100</u>
tion	th (	hic	Materials Descript	ion	les	cet eter	Brap	5)	(%)	uno)	•	5i Liquid	) Limit	<u>100</u>
Eleva	Dep	Grapl			% Fir	Pock	Sample G	Recove	RQD	Blow Co (N-Va	0	5	)	100
			FILL: LEAN CLAY, red-brown brown, with trace to some c gravel (fine) and fine roots, it to wet (CL) Auger refusal at 4.9	n to hert moist 4.9 feet										
	- 35— - -													

Oak Hill, Davidson County, Tennessee         Drilling Co.:       TTL, Inc.       Project Number:       000240800493.00       0         Driller:       C. Fullmer       Date Drilled:       02/22/24       F         Logged By:       C. Fullmer       Boring Depth:       5.6 feet       H         Equipment:       -       Boring Elevation:       N/A	Comments: Backfilled with auger Two borings were initi HA-02 that encounter and refusal at 1.3 and	Page 1 of 1 cuttings upon completion. ially attempted within 2 feet of ed water at 1.0 feet and 1.2 feet 1.4 feet, respectively.
Drilling Co.:TTL, Inc.Project Number:000240800493.000Driller:C. FullmerDate Drilled:02/22/24FLogged By:C. FullmerBoring Depth:5.6 feetHEquipment:-Boring Elevation:N/Aa	Comments: Backfilled with auger Two borings were initi HA-02 that encounter and refusal at 1.3 and	cuttings upon completion. ally attempted within 2 feet of ed water at 1.0 feet and 1.2 fee 1.4 feet, respectively.
Driller:     C. Fullmer     Date Drilled:     02/22/24     E       Logged By:     C. Fullmer     Boring Depth:     5.6 feet     H       Equipment:     -     Boring Elevation:     N/A	Backfilled with auger Two borings were initi HA-02 that encounter and refusal at 1.3 and ▼ Delayed Water	cuttings upon completion. ally attempted within 2 feet of ed water at 1.0 feet and 1.2 fee 1.4 feet, respectively.
Logged By: C. Fullmer Boring Depth: 5.6 feet	HA-02 that encounter and refusal at 1.3 and	ed water at 1.0 feet and 1.2 fee 1.4 feet, respectively.
Fquipment: - Roring Elevation: N/A	▼ Delayed Water	1.4 Teet, respectively.
Equipment. Doning Lievation, N/A	▼ Delayed Water	
Hammer Type: - Coordinates: -, -	▼ Delayed Water	
Drilling Method: Hand Auger          \[             \Vec{V} Water Level At Time Of Drilling: 5 feet         \]         \[             \Vec{V} Cave-in At Time Of Drilling: N/A         \]         [             \Vec{V} Auger         ]         [         ]         [	Delayed Water Obs	Level: N/A servation Date: N/A
Samples		Uncorrected N-Value
Elevation (ft)       Depth (ft)       Depth (ft)       Graphic Log       Graphic Log       % Fines       % Fines       Sample Graphic       Recovery (%)	RQD (%) Blow Counts (N-Value)	0         25         30           ■         Moisture Content         ■           0         50         100           ◆         Plastic Limit         ●           0         50         100           ◆         Liquid Limit         ●           0         50         100
FILL: LEAN CLAY, red-brown to brown, with trace to some chert gravel (fine), moist to wet (CL)       -		

7	_	-	Retai	ining Wa	ll at 10	00 Wa	odv	ward Hills	s Place			HA-	·03	
				Oak	Hill, David	son Coun	ty, Ten	inessee				Page	1 of 1	
Drilli	ng Co	D.:	TTL, Inc.	Project Nu	mber: 0	002408	3004	93.00	Commen	ts:				
Drille	er:		C. Fullmer	Date Drilled: 02/22/24 Backfilled with aug							cuttings	; upon co	mpletior	۱.
Log	ged B	y:	C. Fullmer	Boring Dep	oth: 4	.1 feet								
Equi	pmer	nt:	-	Boring Elev	vation: N	I/A								
Ham	mer <sup>-</sup>	Туре:	-	Coordinate	es: -	, -								
				∑ Water L	evel At 7	Fime Of	Drilli	ng: 3.8 feet	▼ Delaye	ed Water	Level:		N/A	
Drilli	ng M	ethod:	Hand Auger	🗳 Cave-in	At Time	e Of Dril	ling:	N/A	Delayed V	Vater Obs	ervatio	on Date:	N/A	
						1		Samples		1	0	Uncorrecte	∋d N-Value 25	• 50
(f)	_	ភ				<del>_</del>	0				0	Moisture	Content	<b>1</b> 00
n (f	(ft)	C Lo			0	r (ts	ihde	(%)		nts e)	◆ 0	Plasti	o Limit 50	◆ 100
atic	pth	phic	Materials Descript	tion	ines	cket nete	D U	ery	%) (%	Cou	<ul> <li>♦</li> <li>0</li> </ul>	Liquic	f Limit 50	◆ 100
Elev	De	Gra			8	Poc	Sample	Recove	RQD	Blow 0 (N-V				
			FILL: LEAN CLAY, red-brow brown, with trace to some of gravel (fine), moist to wet (0	n to chert CL)										
	$\leq$ _			4.1	-									
	5—		Auger refusal at 4.1	feet										
	-													
	-													
	-													
	-													
	10 —													
	-													
	_													
	_													
	15 —													
	-													
	-													
	-													
	-													
	20-													
	-													
	-													
	_													
	25													
	25													
	_													
	_													
	-													
	30-													
	-													
	-													
	-													
	-													
	35—													
	_													
						1								

7		77	Retai	ning Wa	ll at 10	0 Wo	odv	ward Hill	ls Place			НА	-04	
				Oak	Hill, Davids	son Coun <sup>.</sup>	ty, Ten	inessee				Page	∋1of1	
Drilling Co.: TTL, Inc.				Project Nu	mber: 0	002408	3004	93.00	Comment	ts:				
Drille	er:		Clay Fullmer	Date Drille	d: 0	2/22/24	1		Backfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.					on.
Logo	ged By	y:	Clay Fullmer	Boring Dep	oth: 1	2 feet			HA-04 that	encountere	ally atte ed wate	r at 0.6	feet and	10.9 fee
Equi	pmen	t:	-	Boring Elev	vation: N	I/A			and refusal	at 1.2 and	1.2 feet	, respec	ctively.	
Ham	mer T	уре:	-	Coordinate	es: -	, -								
Drilli	ng Me	ethod:	Hand Auger	⊻ Water L ≝Cave-in	evel At 1 At Time	ime Of Of Dril	Drilli ling:	ng: N/A N/A		ed Water Vater Obs	Level: ervatio	on Date	N/. : N/	A A
				1				Samples			•	Uncorrec	cted N-Value	, •
		_										Moistu	re Content	50
ר (ft	(£	Loç				(tsf	phic	(%)		))	•	Plas	stic Limit	100
atio	pth	ohic	Materials Descript	ion	ines	sket neter	Gra	ery (	(%)	Cour	♦ 0	Liqu	Jid Limit	◆ 100
Eleva	Del	Grap			2 E	Poc	Sample	Recove	RQD	Blow C (N-V	0			100
			FILL: LEAN CLAY, brown to with limestone gravel (fine t coarse), moist to wet (CL) Auger refusal at 1.2	black, o <u>1.2</u> feet										
	-													
	_													





Drainage Outfall 1

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

Drainage Outfall 2

PLAT AND DEED REFERENCES

LOT 1 - REVISED FINAL PLAT - WOODWARD HILLS, BOOK 9700, PAGE 900, (R.O.D.C., TN) AMENDMENT - BOOK 11766, PAGE 32, (R.O.D.C., TN)

evation relief from fenceline to

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

Existing Pipe Outfall

![](_page_14_Picture_12.jpeg)

(615) 831–0756 (FAX) 355–6928 H & H Project No. 2023-0271

Drainage Improvement Exhibit Prepared By:

![](_page_14_Picture_15.jpeg)

Fulmer Lucas Engineering, LLC Date: 4/15/2024

# Old Hickory Blvd 100 WOODWARD HILLS PLACE

17

NAME ITTER & AL

STATISTICS.

Nashville Korean United Methodist Church

Old Hickory Blvd

Google

ti fint

9

254

Old Hickory Blvd

Chili's Grill & Bar

254

gration

Harpeth On The Green 1

Hillview

**Glenn Shepard Seminars** 

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **100 WOODWARD HILLS PLACE**